

Data Set of MDC, Inc.

Rural County Tables - North Carolina

County	Unemployment Rate, Aug. 2000	Median HH Income 1995 (% of state)	Persistent Poverty 1993	Population Change 1990-1999	Percent with HS Degree, 1990	Percent African-American, 2000
NC Total	3.5%	100.0%		15.40%	70.0%	22.4%
Alexander	2.4%	104.8%		16.3%	59.0%	6.2%
Alleghany	7.6%	79.4%		2.7%	52.6%	1.8%
Anson	5.3%	80.4%		3.3%	60.8%	47.8%
Ashe	5.3%	80.1%		9.3%	55.6%	0.5%
Avery	2.3%	85.1%		6.6%	62.2%	1.3%
Beaufort	6.8%	81.0%		6.8%	65.9%	32.2%
Bertie	8.5%	67.9%	Yes	0.0%	54.9%	64.0%
Bladen	5.2%	79.2%	Yes	7.9%	56.4%	39.3%
Brunswick	4.5%	93.2%		36.2%	69.2%	16.6%
Burke	4.4%	99.7%		12.3%	60.1%	6.5%
Caldwell	2.1%	95.4%		7.2%	56.8%	6.0%
Camden	2.8%	103.0%		16.3%	66.2%	17.8%
Carteret	2.6%	99.2%		14.5%	75.5%	8.7%
Caswell	2.1%	90.5%		8.6%	55.0%	38.6%
Chatham	1.6%	113.5%		20.6%	70.0%	18.5%
Cherokee	5.5%	76.1%	Yes	14.9%	59.9%	2.0%
Chowan	3.0%	81.1%		5.9%	63.3%	33.8%
Clay	3.8%	80.2%		22.2%	62.9%	0.7%
Columbus	9.4%	75.8%	Yes	6.8%	59.4%	31.0%
Craven	3.7%	95.1%		9.3%	75.9%	23.3%
Currituck	1.0%	109.1%		26.1%	67.7%	6.7%
Dare	1.3%	105.1%		30.3%	81.0%	43.7%
Davie	7.1%	116.0%		16.1%	69.6%	7.7%
Duplin	4.2%	83.5%		8.5%	56.4%	33.1%
Edgecombe	5.8%	81.0%		-3.5%	58.5%	56.6%
Franklin	2.5%	97.7%		24.5%	62.4%	29.6%
Gates	2.8%	89.5%		9.4%	60.9%	37.0%
Graham	5.9%	72.8%		5.7%	56.9%	0.0%
Granville	4.1%	100.7%		16.2%	62.0%	35.6%
Greene	3.8%	87.3%		20.5%	59.2%	39.7%
Halifax	6.5%	73.5%	Yes	0.6%	53.9%	51.6%
Harnett	3.6%	90.9%		24.6%	64.0%	22.0%

Shading indicates that the figure is in the bottom quintile for economically challenged.

Data Set of MDC, Inc.

Haywood	2.5%	88.7%		10.8%	68.0%	1.9%
Hertford	4.6%	72.5%	Yes	-1.7%	58.1%	60.1%
Hoke	7.8%	83.8%	Yes	37.0%	55.7%	42.6%
Hyde	2.5%	63.7%	Yes	7.7%	60.0%	30.2%
Jackson	2.1%	87.3%		12.8%	68.7%	1.4%
Johnston	1.9%	106.5%		35.1%	64.4%	15.3%
Jones	3.9%	78.9%	Yes	-0.4%	62.4%	34.9%
Lee	3.7%	104.0%		19.5%	72.4%	24.4%
Lenoir	4.4%	82.7%		2.7%	62.9%	34.7%
McDowell	3.6%	92.6%		13.7%	58.5%	4.4%
Macon	1.9%	84.7%		23.0%	66.7%	1.8%
Madison	2.5%	80.9%		10.7%	56.4%	0.9%
Martin	9.3%	78.1%	Yes	4.2%	58.3%	45.0%
Mitchell	3.7%	84.8%		2.3%	55.3%	0.2%
Montgomery	3.8%	84.0%		4.1%	55.3%	27.6%
Moore	3.6%	107.6%		23.5%	74.3%	17.2%
Nash	4.3%	100.0%		17.2%	65.1%	32.3%
Northampton	5.8%	70.7%	Yes	1.1%	52.8%	57.7%
Onslow	3.2%	85.6%		-0.3%	83.0%	21.3%
Pamlico	2.0%	82.5%		8.3%	65.9%	20.4%
Pasquotank	2.8%	86.7%		13.8%	67.4%	39.0%
Pender	4.0%	94.7%		39.6%	64.6%	23.4%
Perquimans	2.6%	77.0%	Yes	8.1%	61.2%	28.0%
Person	4.0%	99.4%		12.2%	63.2%	28.4%
Polk	3.2%	100.4%		16.8%	69.6%	5.4%
Randolph	2.9%	104.1%		18.9%	62.0%	5.8%
Richmond	5.9%	80.9%		2.7%	60.4%	31.8%
Robeson	7.7%	78.6%	Yes	10.9%	57.0%	23.4%
Rockingham	4.2%	92.4%		4.9%	59.2%	20.7%
Rutherford	7.6%	92.2%		8.0%	59.4%	10.7%
Sampson	3.1%	85.0%	Yes	11.7%	61.3%	33.3%
Scotland	6.3%	89.5%		6.3%	60.7%	38.8%
Stanly	3.6%	98.6%		9.2%	62.1%	13.1%
Stokes	2.6%	105.1%		19.4%	62.8%	4.1%
Surry	3.3%	90.2%		10.1%	57.3%	4.3%
Swain	7.3%	64.9%	Yes	9.5%	59.0%	1.7%
Transylvania	2.9%	99.5%		13.1%	72.1%	5.2%

Shading indicates that the figure is in the bottom quintile for economically challenged.

Data Set of MDC, Inc.

Tyrrell	5.2%	61.0%	Yes	2.2%	58.0%	41.1%
Union	2.3%	118.6%		34.2%	69.0%	15.3%
Vance	10.0%	80.3%		9.3%	57.1%	45.1%
Warren	7.4%	68.3%	Yes	9.2%	53.7%	55.3%
Washington	5.7%	83.3%	Yes	-4.0%	60.6%	47.8%
Watauga	1.2%	89.5%	Yes	12.1%	72.0%	2.7%
Wilkes	2.4%	90.2%		7.2%	54.1%	4.7%
Wilson	6.6%	88.2%		4.1%	62.2%	37.9%
Yadkin	3.3%	97.1%		19.6%	58.9%	3.9%
Yancey	2.9%	78.5%		9.3%	60.7%	0.9%

Rural County Tables - South Carolina

County	Unemployment Rate, Aug. 2000	Median HH Income 1995 (% of state)	Persistent Poverty 1993	Population Change 1990-1999	Percent with HS Degree, 1990	Percent African-American, 2000
SC Total	4.2%	100.0%		11.5%	68.3%	30.1%
Abbeville	5.4%	93.1%		3.4%	58.9%	30.9%
Aiken	4.7%	124.6%		12.0%	70.7%	24.5%
Allendale	4.8%	69.9%	Yes	-3.4%	52.3%	73.4%
Bamberg	5.4%	74.6%	Yes	-3.6%	59.2%	65.7%
Barnwell	6.7%	92.2%		7.3%	59.9%	45.5%
Berkeley	3.4%	112.8%		10.5%	75.4%	25.5%
Calhoun	5.2%	90.3%	Yes	11.6%	61.9%	48.7%
Cherokee	7.7%	99.8%		12.5%	57.2%	24.2%
Chester	9.4%	92.4%		8.6%	56.9%	41.3%
Chesterfield	5.9%	88.7%		7.7%	53.9%	33.4%
Clarendon	7.3%	73.4%	Yes	8.6%	54.9%	55.2%
Colleton	5.1%	81.8%	Yes	9.5%	61.7%	43.6%
Darlington	7.0%	91.9%		7.5%	62.3%	36.8%
Dillon	13.0%	74.8%	Yes	2.1%	52.5%	45.3%
Dorchester	3.5%	115.8%		9.1%	76.7%	24.0%
Edgefield	3.9%	92.5%		8.8%	62.6%	43.2%
Fairfield	8.9%	85.9%	Yes	1.2%	58.1%	57.6%
Florence	5.6%	98.3%		9.5%	64.3%	39.4%
Georgetown	7.3%	97.5%	Yes	18.6%	63.9%	41.3%
Greenwood	5.7%	100.9%		7.0%	64.1%	29.4%

Shading indicates that the figure is in the bottom quintile for economically challenged.

Data Set of MDC, Inc.

Hampton	5.3%	78.5%	Yes	5.1%	58.9%	56.9%
Horry	3.1%	99.0%		23.9%	74.3%	15.8%
Jasper	3.5%	78.6%	Yes	11.3%	54.5%	58.0%
Kershaw	6.4%	108.3%		13.1%	67.8%	25.7%
Lancaster	4.9%	101.2%		9.3%	60.0%	26.8%
Laurens	4.0%	99.1%		9.0%	57.4%	27.2%
Lee	8.9%	73.4%	Yes	10.2%	53.5%	63.3%
McCormick	7.0%	85.7%	Yes	8.3%	52.5%	57.0%
Marion	18.3%	74.5%	Yes	1.7%	55.3%	56.8%
Marlboro	11.2%	73.7%	Yes	-0.8%	50.9%	50.5%
Newberry	5.7%	94.0%		3.7%	62.1%	35.4%
Oconee	4.1%	107.6%		13.2%	63.4%	10.2%
Orangeburg	9.0%	82.5%	Yes	3.2%	62.4%	57.3%
Saluda	4.5%	88.5%		3.3%	59.8%	31.8%
Sumter	5.2%	91.0%		9.5%	69.8%	44.5%
Union	7.7%	87.9%		0.1%	55.0%	30.6%
Williamsburg	13.3%	74.3%	Yes	0.1%	55.6%	65.9%

Shading indicates that the figure is in the bottom quintile for economically challenged.